

# Proxy Apps Mysteries Revealed



PI: David Richards (LLNL)

Team: Jeanine Cook (SNL), Hal Finkel (ANL), Christoph Junghans (LANL), Peter McCorquodale (LBNL), Shirley Moore (ORNL), Omar Aaziz (SNL), Tanner Juedeman (SNL), Courtenay Vaughan (SNL), Brian Homerding (ANL), Thomas Uram (ANL), Abhinav Bhatele (LLNL), Xavier Andrade (LLNL), Robert Pavel (LANL), Vinay Ramakrishnaiah (LANL), Tiffany Mintz (ORNL), Greg Watson (ORNL)

## Mission

To curate a suite of proxy applications that are representative of the intended characteristics of their respective parent applications and are easy to obtain and use. Characteristics include hardware bottlenecks (e.g., memory, computation, communication) and programming models.

## Proxy App Suite v2.0

AMG	miniVite
CANDLE Benchmarks	NEKbone
Ember	PICSArlite
ExaMiniMD	SW4lite
Laghos	SWFFT
MACSio	thornado-mini
miniAMR	XSBench
miniQMC	

- 53 proxy applications now in our catalog. Always looking for more
- Each proxy app can be installed with a simple Spack command
  - Dependencies are easily identified and provided
  - See <https://proxyapps.exascaleproject.org/downloads>
- Improved metadata to track provenance and sponsors of proxies
- The Proxy App Team worked with ECP Projects to develop or enhance new proxy apps
  - PICSArlite: Reduced code size by 80%
  - miniVite: Consulted on design of proxy and selection of algorithm
  - thornado-mini: Helped with documentation and testing

## Quantitative Assessment

**Goal:** Understand how well proxies represent parent applications quantitatively at hardware level → better proxies in future

- Representative problems/sizes
- Detailed profiling
- Quantitative characterization
- Statistical proxy/parent app comparison

## Quantitative Comparison

**Methodology**

Explored how the communication of a proxy application relates to its parent application

Used two quantification methods:

- A pairwise communication quantification method that captures how much one application matches the other
- A message characteristics quantification method that produces a clustering-based relatedness measure of the parent applications and their proxies

Communication clustering data set

Parent/Proxy	Parent in Proxy #msg	Proxy in Parent #pair	Full Set #msg	Full Set #pair	Parent in Proxy PCorr	Parent in Proxy SCorr	Proxy in Parent PCorr	Proxy in Parent SCorr
HACC/SWFFT	68.7	41.1	100	100	0.97	0.99	0.92	0.84

Communication Similarity, Clustering Message Size, and Frequency

Point-to-Point Communication Analysis

## Proxy Catalog Performance Characterization

### Latency

### Bandwidth

### Compute

Added additional information to the proxy app catalog

- Performance bounds and data
- Problem size and run information
- Important code segments with cache and memory bandwidth data

## GPU Characterization of Proxy Apps

Instruction mix for PENNANT (sedovbig) and Quicksilver (Coral2)

Instruction mix for SW4lite (LOH1-h100)

Stall cycle breakdown for PENNANT gpumain2 kernel on V100

**Observations:**

- All four proxy apps are limited by memory performance on P100 and V100 GPUs.
- Applications that are latency-bound on P100 remain latency-bound to same degree on V100, in spite of improvements to cache/memory hierarchy.
  - SW4lite improved from 14% to 16% of peak
  - PENNANT remained at 8%
- Above instruction mixes are shown for P100. Integer instruction counts, which include address calculations, are reduced and can be performed simultaneously with floating point operations on V100 and thus have less impact on V100.